


North Carolina
STATE BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Department of Justice
RALEIGH

LABORATORY REPORT

ROSS-REFERENCE WITH
J40000887
REPORT #5



TO: Detective John Lawson Rowan County Sheriff's Dept. 232 North Main Street Salisbury, N. C. 28144	SBI FILE NO: 1993-02409/592-H-20	SBI LAB NO: R930024674
	AGENCY FILE NO: 93003336	EXAMINED BY: J. E. Petzka
	LOCATION: Rowan County	DATE OF OFFENSE: * December 9, 1993
	TYPE OF CASE: Homicide	DATE SUBMITTED: * June 9, 1997
SUBJECT(S): CATHERINE MILLER (VICTIM)		DATE COMPLETED: * June 13, 1997
	MATERIAL SUBMITTED BY: Cert. 2427302965	DATE TRANSCRIBED: June 17, 1997

ITEMS SUBMITTED:

- Item 9 - Nineteen (19) latent print lifts.
- Item 56 - Known inked impressions bearing the name L. C. Underwood.
- Item 59 - Eight (8) photographs of evidence previously submitted containing latent prints.
- Item 76 - Known inked impressions bearing the names Jason D. Weden and Sandra Kay Weden and known inked fingerprint impressions of thirteen (13) law enforcement officers, all of which were submitted for elimination purposes.

TYPE EXAMINATION REQUESTED:

Latent print examination and comparison.

RESULTS OF EXAMINATION:

One (1) identifiable latent fingerprint and one (1) identifiable latent palmprint that had not been previously identified were noted on the latent print lifts submitted as Item 9.

Two (2) identifiable latent fingerprints and three (3) identifiable latent palmprints that had not been previously identified were noted in the photographs submitted as Item 59.

All of the unidentified identifiable latent prints were compared to all of the known inked impressions submitted in Item 76 and to file copies of known inked impressions of eight (8) additional law enforcement officers.

* One (1) of the identifiable latent palmprints noted in the photographs noted in the photographs submitted as Item 59 was identified as having been made by the left palm of the same individual whose prints appear on the card bearing the name Jason D. Weden.

* Two (2) of the identifiable latent palmprints noted in the photographs submitted as Item 59 were eliminated as having been made by a law enforcement officer. *which officer?*

I, Michael F. Easley, Attorney General of the State of North Carolina, hereby certify that the form identified as North Carolina State Bureau of Investigation, Department of Justice, Laboratory Report is a form approved by me for the purpose stated in G.S. 90-95(g) and approved by me in compliance with the said statute.

COPIES TO:

Mr. William D. Kenerly, D.A.
SAC J. C. Woodard
S/A D. A. Gale

THIS REPORT IS TO BE USED ONLY IN CONNECTION WITH AN OFFICIAL CRIMINAL INVESTIGATION.

James J. Coman, Director

This report represents a true and accurate result of my analysis on the item(s) described.

J. E. Petzka

STATE DEPARTMENT OF INVESTIGATION

Page 2

R930024674

RESULTS OF EXAMINATION (CONTINUED):

- * There are three (3) identifiable latent fingerprints and one (1) identifiable latent palmprint that remain unidentified. *where were these fingerprints found.*
 - * The known inked fingerprint impressions of the thirteen law enforcement officers submitted in Item 76 did not include known inked palmprints; therefore, a comparison of those individuals to the identifiable latent palmprint that remains unidentified could not be conducted. *they have identifiable palmprint out never sent the officer's palmprint for elimination purposes*
- Item 56 was not utilized in this examination.

DISPOSITION OF EVIDENCE:

The evidence is enclosed in the attached package and is being returned via United Parcel Service.

JEP:jgf
Attachment

But they never they try to match my prints which is better on Item 56 to eliminate or confirm the unidentified prints were mine.